

Document Code	MILES N	
SP-R02-0	õ	
A 27 SET PROBLEM TO	Date: D	77.730

10.01.17

1 of 3

PROCEDURE TITLE EXTERNAL CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY	
SCOPE	This procedure covers the activities from the conduct of client satisfaction survey up to the issuance of analysis and summary of client satisfaction report to management.
PURPOSE	To define the process for conducting client satisfaction surveys (CSS) and analyzing results to confirm that client satisfaction objective is achieved.

PROCESS DECSRIPTION:

INPU	T	PROCESS	OUT	PUT
Core Process	Process Output	EXTERNAL CLIENT SATISFACTION SURVEY	Customer Satisfaction Survey Rating report	Regional Management

DESCRIPTIVE STATEMENT:

The concerned Process Owner determine the method for the conduct of customer satisfaction using the Client Satisfaction Survey Matrix. Once identified, the concerned Process Owner prepare the survey tool, conduct the survey, collect and summarize the filled up survey tool, and submit to the QMS Secretariat. QMS Secretariat analyze the report and issue Corrective Action Report, as appropriate.

Step No.	Responsible Personnel	PROCESS/ACTIVITY	Details	References
1	Concerned Process Owner	Determine the method for the conduct of customer satisfaction	 Determine the method for the conduct of customer satisfaction including the type of client, mode of survey and the relevant survey tool using the Client Satisfaction Survey Matrix. Determine the sample size and frequency. 	Client Satisfaction Survey Matrix
2	Concerned Process Owner	Prepare the survey tool	Prepare the survey tool relevant to the type of service provided or adopt the general Client Satisfaction Survey (CSS) Form	• Survey tool/ CSS form
3	Concerned Process Owner	Conduct the CS survey	Issue/send the survey tool/CSS form to the identified respondent who received DILG-CO's services.	Survey tool/ CSS form
			 For walk-in clients, request the respondent to accomplish and drop the filled-up survey tool/CSS form into the locked drop box provided. 	





Document Code	E 6 1 19
SP-R02-06	

Rev. No.	Eff. Date	della della
00	10.01.17	2 of 3

Step No.	Responsible Personnel	PROCESS/ACTIVITY	Details	References
4	Concerned Process Owner	Collect and summarize the filled-up survey tool/CSS forms	Retrieve the filled-up survey tools/CSS Forms from the locked drop box (for walk-in clients), if any and the returned electronic survey tool/CSS form.	Accomplished Survey tool/ CSS form
			Record the ratings in the Process Summary Logsheet (PSL), if any, or CSS Summary duly approved by the concerned Division Chief or OIC.	 Process Summary Log Sheet/CSS Summary Log Sheet
			Summarize the results in the Process Quality Monitoring and Evaluation (QME) duly approved by the concerned Division Chief/Head of Office.	• Process QME
			Submit the PSL and QME Report to the QMS Secretariat on or before the 5th working day of the ensuing month of the current period in accordance with the Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Procedure.	 Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Procedure
5	QMS Secretariat	Analyze the CSS results	 Analyze the received CSS results and confirm the indicated client satisfaction rating. 	Process Summary Log Sheet/CSS Summary
			Prepare Corrective Action Report (CAR) for unmet customer satisfaction target and recorded complaint in the CSS form, if any, in accordance with	• Process QME • CAR
			Nonconformity and Corrective Action Procedure.	Nonconformi y and Corrective
			 Determine the overall client satisfaction rating for DILG-CO and indicate in the QMS-QME report. 	Action Process Performance Monitoring
			Submit QMS-QME report, QMS- PSL to the Deputy QMR.	and Measuremen
				• QMS-PSL



Document	Code	A STATE
SP-RO	2-06	
Rev. No.	Elf. Date	Falso (i)
00	10.01.17	3 of 3

Step No.	Responsible Personnel	PROCESS/ACTIVITY	Details	References
				• QMS-QME
6	QMS Secretariat; Concerned Process Owners	Retain Records	Retain records in accordance with Control of Retained Documented Information Procedure and Master List of Records.	Control of Retained Documented Information Procedure
		11		Master List of Records

Definition of term:

Client – refers to the customer who receives the resulting product/service of a process.

Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
ISSalude, IVE B. SALUDED	ATTY. ODILON L. PASARABA, CESO V	JONATHAN PAUL M. LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV
QMS Secretariat Head	Regional Quality Management Representative	Regional Director



DILG REGIONAL OFFICE 02

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY MATRIX

At least 90% average Satisfactory (3) and above (4, 5) rating from received Customer Satisfaction Survey Quality Objective: Forms/Survey Tools Schedule of submission: Every 5th working day of the ensuing month of the monitoring period TARGET RESPONSIBLE SAMPLE FREQUENCY SURVEY RESPONSE PERSON SIZE CUSTOMERS NAME OF (the frequency MODE OF TOOL RATE (indicate the name of the (specify the customers of (indicate should be the same PROCESS personnel responsible for SURVEY the process, e.g. regional, OFFIC (indicate whether percentage from (ensure that the with the monitoring findicate the name of the monitoring the customer offices, field offices, CSS form or the total (specify whether results can period indicated in E process as it appears in satisfaction objective and LGUs/Officials/ specify the name customers for the in person or reliably your process quality the documented quality submitting reports) employees, and/or the electronic) of other survey period to be represent the objective (QO) procedures) general public) tool used) given survey "voice" of the form) tool) customers)

Prepared By	Reviewed By	Approved By
IVE B. SALUDEZ	ATTY. ODILON L. PASARABA, CESO V	JONATHAN PAUL M. LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV
QMS Secretariat Head	Regional Quality Management Representative	Regional Director



DILG REGIONAL OFFICE 02

Address: Regional Government Center, Carig Sur, Tuguegarao City, Cagayan

FM-SP-	Code R02-06	-02
Rev No.	Eff. Date	BALL S
00	10.01.17	1 of 1

Date:

Customer Satisfaction Survey Form

Name: (Optional)			Date:	
Service/Assistance R	equested/Receiv	ved:		
Office Concerned:				
Dear Client,				
2-22-57-57579-577-142-4			ngistantly provide	offective services to
We at the Di	LG-Regional Offi	ice 02 endeavors to co ard, may we request y	ou to help us imp	rove our services by
allowing us to hear y		iru, may we request y	ou to help us imp	TOVE OUT DELVIES SY
Windly fill-up	this survey form	n and reflect your impr	essions about our	services. Encircle the
rating that correspon				
rating that correspon	rus to your sucis.			
Ratin	g Scale	Description of	Level of Satisfaction	on
5			ry High	
4		Hig	gh	
3	3	Mo	oderate	
2	2	Lo	W	
1	Ĺ	Ve	ry Low	
ervice Parameter		Client Satisfaction		Remarks
Service Quality		5 4 3 2 1		Ш
Service Timeliness		5 4 3 2 1		
Staff Responsiveness		5 4 3 2 1	-	
verall Impression		5 4 3 2 1		
uggestion for Improve	ment:		13	
Prepared By	Re	eviewed By	A A	pproved By
ISSalueles	ATAY. ODILON	MUUTOLOGU, V.L. PASARABA, CESO V	JONATHAN PAL	ILM. LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV
QMS Secretariat Head	Regional Q	uality Management presentative	Reg	ional Director



DILG REGIONAL OFFICE 02

CSS Summary Log Sheet

Document Code FM-SP-R02-06-03			
Rev No.	Eff. Date	Page	
00	10.01.17	1 of 1	

Office:	
Procedure:	

No.	Date Survey Form Issued	Survey Mode (in person, electronic)	Name of the Activity	Date CSS Form Received	Unsatisfactory and Below (2, 1)	Satisfactory or Above rating (3, 4, 5)	Remarks, if any
_							
_							
otal							

Prepared By	Noted By
{ Name Here }	{ Name Here }
Date:	Date
{ Position Title Here }	{ Division Chief }

Prep	pared
	IBSalueley
	IVE B. SALUDEZ
	QMS Secretariat

Reviewed B	Intercora.
ATTY	ODILON L. PASARABA, CESO IV
Regional	Quality Management Representative

Approved By	经国际支持等地产的证明等的
JONATHA	N PAUL W LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV
	Regional Director





Document	Code	The second
FM-SP-R	02-06-04	
Rev. No.	Eff. Date	Page
00	10.01.17	1 of 1

QUALITY OBJECTIVE: 2. 90% of Clients Satisfaction Survey (Activity Feedback Form) received with rating of satisfactory (3) and above (4, 5).

TA TITLE:

DATE CONDUCTED:

TOTAL NO. OF PARTICIPANTS:

VENUE:

ADMINISTERING PERSONNEL:

			Rating		
No.	Criteria 1	Criteria 2	Criteria 3	Criteria Nth	Total/ Average Rating
TOTAL					
RESULT (9	%)				

Prepared By	Noted By
{ Name Here }	{ Name Here }
Date:	Date:
{ Position Title Here }	{ Division Chief }

Prepared	Reviewed By	Approved By
SYSSalueles	ATTY. ODILON L. PASARABA, CESO IV	JONATHAN PAUL W. LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV
QMS Secretariat	Regional Quality Management Representative	Regional Director



Document Code FM-SP-R02-06-04					
00	10.01.17	1 of 1			

QUALITY OBJECTIVE: 2. 90% of Clients Satisfaction Survey (Activity Feedback Form) received with rating of satisfactory (3) and above (4, 5). TA TITLE:

DATE CONDUCTED:

TOTAL NO. OF PARTICIPANTS:

VENUE:

ADMINISTERING PERSONNEL:

	Rating					
No.	Criteria I	Criteria 2	Criteria 3	Criteria Nth	Total/ Average Rating	
OTAL						
ESULT (%	6)					

Prepared By	Noted By	
{ Name Here }	{ Name Here }	
Date:	Date:	
(Position Title Here)	{ Division Chief }	

Prepared	Reviewed By	Approved By	
973 Saludes	ATTY. ODILON L. PASARABA, CESO IV	JONATHAN PAUL W. LEUSEN, JR., CESO IV	
QMS Secretariat	Regional Quality Management Representative	Regional Director	